# Ant Colony Optimization Part 1: Introduction

Spring 2009 Instructor: Dr. Masoud Yaghini

# Outline

- Introduction
- Real Ants
- Artificial Ants
- Simple Ant Colony Optimization (S-ACO)
- Experiments with S-ACO
- References

# Introduction

# **Swarm Intelligence**

- Swarm intelligence (SI) is artificial intelligence based on the collective behavior of decentralized, self-organized systems.
- The expression was introduced by Gerardo Beni and Jing Wang in 1989.
- The natural examples of SI includes the behaviors of certain ants, honeybees, wasps, beetles, caterpillars, and termites

# **Swarm Intelligence**

- Example of swarm intelligence algorithms:
  - Ant colony optimization
  - Particle swarm optimization
  - Stochastic diffusion search
  - Swarm robotics

# **Ant Colony Optimization**

- Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) is inspired by the foraging behavior of ant colonies
- ACO algorithms are used for solving Discrete optimization problems.
- ACO is one of the most successful examples of metaheuristic algorithms.

# **Ant Colony Optimization**

- Examples of ACO algorithms
  - Ant System (AS)
  - Elitist Ant System (EAS)
  - Rank-Based Ant System (ASrank)
  - Min-Max Ant System (MMAS)
  - Ant Colony System (ACS)
  - Approximate Nondeterministic Tree Search (ANTS)
  - Hyper-Cube Framework

# **ACO Brief History**

- **1989 & 1990**:
  - By Goss et al. & Deneuborg et al.
  - Experiments with Argentine ants
  - The ants prefer the shortest path from the nest to the food source
- 1991:
  - By Dorigo et. al.
  - Ant System (AS) was the first ACO algorithm presented for shortest paths
- 1998:
  - Ant Colony Optimization is the name given by Dorigo (Milan, Italy),
  - A class of algorithms whose first member was AS.

Problem type	Problem name	Main references
Routing	Traveling salesman	Dorigo, Maniezzo, & Colorni (1991a,b, 1996) Dorigo (1992) Gambardella & Dorigo (1995) Dorigo & Gambardella (1997a,b) Stützle & Hoos (1997, 2000) Bullnheimer, Hartl, & Strauss (1999c) Cordón, de Viana, Herrera, & Morena (2000)
	Vehicle routing	Bullnheimer, Hartl, & Strauss (1999a,b) Gambardella, Taillard, & Agazzi (1999) Reimann, Stummer, & Doerner (2002)
	Sequential ordering	Gambardella & Dorigo (1997, 2000)

Problem type	Problem name	Main references
Assignment	Quadratic assignment	Maniezzo, Colorni, & Dorigo (1994) Stützle (1997b) Maniezzo & Colorni (1999) Maniezzo (1999) Stützle & Hoos (2000)
	Graph coloring	Costa & Hertz (1997)
	Generalized assignment	Lourenço & Serra (1998, 2002)
	Frequency assignment	Maniezzo & Carbonaro (2000)
	University course timetabling	Socha, Knowles, & Sampels (2002) Socha, Sampels, & Manfrin (2003)

Problem type	Problem name	Main references
Scheduling	Job shop	Colorni, Dorigo, Maniezzo, & Trubian (1994)
	Open shop	Pfahringer (1996)
	Flow shop	Stützle (1998a)
	Total tardiness	Bauer, Bullnheimer, Hartl, & Strauss (2000)
	Total weighted tardiness	den Besten, Stützle, & Dorigo (2000) Merkle & Middendorf (2000, 2003a) Gagné, Price, & Gravel (2002)
	Project scheduling	Merkle, Middendorf, & Schmeck (2000a, 2002)
	Group shop	Blum (2002a, 2003a)

Problem type	Problem name	Main references
Subset	Multiple knapsack	Leguizamón & Michalewicz (1999)
	Max independent set	Leguizamón & Michalewicz (2000)
	Redundancy allocation	Liang & Smith (1999)
	Set covering	Leguizamón & Michalewicz (2000) Hadji, Rahoual, Talbi, & Bachelet (2000)
	Weight constrained graph tree partition	Cordone & Maffioli (2001)
	Arc-weighted <i>l</i> -cardinality tree	Blum & Blesa (2003)
	Maximum clique	Fenet & Solnon (2003)

Problem type	Problem name	Main references
Machine learning	Classification rules Bayesian networks Fuzzy systems	Parpinelli, Lopes, & Freitas (2002b) de Campos, Gámez, & Puerta (2002b) Casillas, Cordón, & Herrera (2000)
Network routing	Connection-oriented network routing	Schoonderwoerd, Holland, Bruten, & Rothkrantz (1996) Schoonderwoerd, Holland, & Bruten (1997) White, Pagurek, & Oppacher (1998) Di Caro & Dorigo (1998d) Bonabeau, Henavy, Guérin, Snyers, Kuntz, & Theraulaz (1998)
	Connectionless network routing	Di Caro & Dorigo (1997, 1998c,f) Subramanian, Druschel, & Chen (1997) Heusse, Snyers, Guérin, & Kuntz (1998) van der Put (1998)
	Optical network routing	Navarro Varela, & Sinclair (1999)



# Stigmergy

- Ant colonies, in spite of the simplicity of their individuals, present a highly structured social organization.
- As a result of this organization, ant colonies can accomplish complex.
- Ants coordinate their activities via **stigmergy**

# Stigmergy

- Stigmergy is a form of indirect communication mediated by modifications of the environment.
  - an individual modifies the environment
  - other individuals respond to that change at a later time
- The environment mediates the communication among individuals
- A foraging ant deposits a chemical on the ground which increases the probability that other ants will follow the same path.

## Pheromones

- The communication among individuals, or between individuals and the environment, is based on the use of chemicals produced by the ants.
- These chemicals are called **pheromones**.
- Trail pheromone is a specific type of pheromone that some ants use for marking paths on the ground, for example, paths from food sources to the nest.

# **Double Bridge Experiments**

- **Deneubourg** and colleagues have shown that foraging ants can find the shortest path between their nest and a food source
- They used a double bridge connecting a nest of ants and a food source.
- They ran experiments varying the length of the two branches of the double bridge.

## **Double Bridge Experiments**



## **First Experiment**



#### **Second Experiment**



## **Foraging behavior of Ants**



• 2 ants start with equal probability of going on either path.

## **Foraging behavior of Ants**



• The ant on shorter path has a shorter to-and-fro time from it's nest to the food.

## **Foraging behavior of Ants**



• The density of pheromone on the shorter path is higher because of 2 passes by the ant (as compared to 1 by the other).

## **Foraging behavior of Ants**



#### • The next ant takes the shorter route.

## **Foraging behavior of Ants**



• Over many iterations, more ants begin using the path with higher pheromone, thereby further reinforcing it.

## **Foraging behavior of Ants**



• After some time, the shorter path is almost exclusively used.

# **Foraging behavior of Ants**



# **Inspiring Source of ACO**

• This collective trail-laying and trail-following behavior whereby an ant is influenced by a chemical trail left by other ants is the inspiring source of ACO.

# **Artificial Ants**

# **Artificial Ants**

- The **double bridge experiments** show clearly that ant colonies have a built-in optimization capability
- By the use of probabilistic rules based on local information they can find the shortest path between two points in their environment.
- It is possible to design artificial ants that, by moving on a graph modeling the double bridge, find the shortest path between the two nodes corresponding to the nest and to the food source.

# Ant Colony Optimization: Part 1 Artificial Ants • As a first step toward the definition of artificial ants, consider this graph

- 2
- The graph consists of two nodes (1 and 2, representing the nest and the food respectively)

# **Artificial Ants**

- The nodes are connected by a short and a long arc
- In the example the long arc is **r times** longer than the short arc, where r is an integer number.
- We assume the time to be discrete (t=1, 2, ...) and that at each time step each ant moves toward a neighbor node at constant speed of one unit of length per time unit.

# **Artificial Ants**

- Ants add **one unit of pheromone** to the arcs they use.
- Ants move on the graph by choosing the path probabilistically:
  - P<sub>is</sub>(t) is the probability for an ant located in node i at time t to choose the short path, and
  - $P_{il}(t)$  the probability to choose the long path.
- These probabilities are a function of the pheromone trails  $\phi_{ia}$  that ants in node i

# **Artificial Ants**

• The probabilities

$$p_{is}(t) = \frac{\left[\varphi_{is}(t)\right]^{\alpha}}{\left[\varphi_{is}(t)\right]^{\alpha} + \left[\varphi_{il}(t)\right]^{\alpha}}$$

$$p_{il}(t) = \frac{\left[\varphi_{il}(t)\right]^{\alpha}}{\left[\varphi_{is}(t)\right]^{\alpha} + \left[\varphi_{il}(t)\right]^{\alpha}}$$

## **Artificial Ants**

• Trail update on the two branches is performed as follows:

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{is}(t) &= \varphi_{is}(t-1) + p_{is}(t-1)m_i(t-1) + p_{js}(t-1)m_j(t-1), \\ (i &= 1, j = 2; i = 2, j = 1), \\ \varphi_{il}(t) &= \varphi_{il}(t-1) + p_{il}(t-1)m_i(t-1) + p_{jl}(t-r)m_j(t-r), \end{split}$$

$$(i = 1, j = 2; i = 2, j = 1),$$

 Where m<sub>i</sub>(t) the number of ants on node i at time t, is given by

$$m_i(t) = p_{js}(t-1)m_j(t-1) + p_{jl}(t-r)m_j(t-r),$$
  
(i = 1, j = 2; i = 2, j = 1).
# **Artificial Ants**

• Another way of modeling:



- In this model each arc of the graph has the same length, and a longer branch is represented by a sequence of arcs.
- In the figure, for example, the long branch is twice as long as the short branch.

- Pheromone updates are done with one time unit delay on each arc.
- The two models are equivalent from a computational point of view, yet the second model permits an easier algorithmic implementation when considering graphs with many nodes.
- By setting the number of ants to 20, the branch length ratio to r=2, and the parameter α to 2, and t=100, the system converges rapidly toward the use of the short branch.



# **Minimum Cost Paths**

# **Artificial Ants**

 Let us consider a static, connected graph G = (N, A), where N is the set of nodes and A is the set of undirected arcs connecting them.



- Artificial ants whose behavior is a straightforward extension of the behavior of the real ants, while building a solution, may generate loops.
- As a consequence of the forward pheromone trail updating mechanism, loops tend to become more and more attractive and ants can get **trapped** in them.

- Artificial ants are given a **limited form of memory** in which they can store:
  - The **paths** they have followed so far, and
  - The **cost** of the links they have traversed.
- Via the use of memory, the ants can implement a number of useful behaviors

- The artificial ants have these behaviors:
  - Probabilistic solution construction biased by pheromone trails, without forward pheromone updating
  - 2. Deterministic backward path with **loop elimination** and with pheromone updating
  - 3. Evaluation of the quality of the solutions generated and use of the solution quality in determining the quantity of pheromone to deposit

# Simple Ant Colony Optimization (S-ACO)

# S-ACO

- The simple ACO algorithm (S-ACO) can be used to find a solution to the shortest path problem defined on the graph.
- A complete cycle of S-ACO:
  - Forward ants and solution construction
  - Backward ants and loop elimination
  - Pheromone updates
  - Pheromone evaporation

# Ant Colony Optimization: Part 1 Forward ants and solution construction There are two working modes for the ants: either forwards or backwards. Each ant builds, starting from the source node, a solution to the problem by applying a stepby-step decision policy.

- The ants memory allows them to retrace the **path it has followed** while searching for the destination node
- Pheromones are **only deposited** in backward mode.

## Forward ants and solution construction

- Assume a connected graph G = (N, A).
- Associated with each edge (*i*, *j*) of the graph there is a variable \(\tau\_{ij}\) termed artificial pheromone trail.
- Every **artificial ant** is capable of "marking" an edge with pheromone and "smelling" (reading) the pheromone on the trail.
- At the beginning of the search process, a constant amount of pheromone (e.g.,  $\tau_{ij}=1$ ) is assigned to all the arcs.

## Forward ants and solution construction

• An ant *k* located at node *i* uses the pheromone trail  $\tau_{ij}(t)$  to compute the probability of choosing *j* as next node:

$$p_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau_{ij}^{\alpha}}{\sum_{j \in N_{i}^{k}} \tau_{ij}^{\alpha}}, & \text{if } j \in N_{i}^{k} \\ 0, & \text{if } j \notin N_{i}^{k} \end{cases}$$

- Where
  - $-N_i^k$  is the neighborhood of ant k in node i.
  - $-\alpha$  is a parameter that controls the relative weight of pheromone trail

# The neighborhood of ant k in node i

- The neighborhood of a node *i* contains all the nodes directly connected to node *i* in the graph G = (N, A), except for the predecessor of node i (i.e., the last node the ant visited before moving to *i*).
- In this way the ants avoid returning to the same node they visited immediately before node *i*.
- Only in case N<sub>i</sub><sup>k</sup> is empty, which corresponds to a dead end in the graph, node *i*'s predecessor is included into N<sub>i</sub><sup>k</sup>.

## Forward ants and solution construction

- Ants use differences paths.
- Therefore the time step at which ants reach the destination node may differ from ant to ant.
- Ants traveling on shorter paths will reach their destinations faster.

## **Backward ants and loop elimination**

- When reaching the destination node, the ant switches from the forward mode to the backward mode
- Before moving backward on their memorized path, they eliminate any loops from it has built while searching for its destination node.
- While moving backwards, the ants leave pheromones on the arcs they traversed.

## **Loop elimination**

- Loop elimination can be done by iteratively scanning the node identifiers position by position starting from the source node
- For the node at the *i-th* position, the path is scanned starting from the destination node until the first occurrence of the node is encountered
- If we have j > i, the subpath from position i + 1 to position j corresponds to a loop and can be eliminated.

## The scanning process for loop elimination



# **Pheromone Update**

• During its return travel to the source, the *k*-th ant deposits an amount  $\Delta \tau^k$  of pheromone on arcs it has visited.

$$\tau_{ij} \leftarrow \tau_{ij} + \Delta \tau^k$$

- By using this rule, the probability increases that forthcoming ants will use this arc.
- An important aspect is the choice of  $\Delta \tau k$ .

# **Pheromone Update**

## Type of pheromone update:

- The same constant value:
  - The same constant value for all the ants.
  - Ants which have detected a shorter path can deposit pheromone earlier than ants traveling on a longer path.

## • Function of the solution quality:

- The ants evaluate the cost of the paths they have traversed.
- The shorter paths will receive a greater deposit of pheromones.

## **Pheromone evaporation**

- To avoid premature convergence pheromone evaporation is done
  - Convergence: when the probability of selecting the arcs of particular path becomes close to 1
- An evaporation rule will be tied with the pheromones, which will reduce the chance for poor quality solutions.

# **Pheromone evaporation**

 After each ant k has moved to the next node, the pheromones evaporate by the following equation to all the arcs:

$$\tau_{ij} \leftarrow (1-p)\tau_{ij}, \ \forall (i,j) \in A$$

• where  $p \in (0,1]$  is a parameter.

## **S-ACO** importance aspects

- S-ACO importance aspects:
  - Number of ants
  - The Value of  $\alpha$
  - Pheromone evaporation rate (p)
  - Type of pheromone update

# **Experiments with S-ACO**

## **First Experiments with S-ACO**

- The experiments were run using the double bridge
- In this model, each arc of the graph has the same length, and a longer branch is represented by a sequence of arcs.



## **First Experiments**

## 1. Run S-ACO with:

- Different values for the number *m* of ants
- Ants depositing a constant amount of pheromone on the visited arcs ( $\Delta \tau^{k}$  =constant)
- 2. Run S-ACO With:
  - Different values for the number *m* of ants
  - Ants depositing an amount of pheromone is  $\Delta \tau^k$ =1/ $L^k$ , where  $L^k$  is the length of ant k's path

## **First Experiments**

- For each experiment we ran 100 trials and each trial was stopped after each ant had moved 1000 steps (moving from one node to the next).
- Evaporation was set to *p* = 0
- The parameter  $\alpha$  was set to 2
- At the end of the trial we checked whether the pheromone trail was higher on the short or on the long path.

# **Results of First Experiments**

• Percentage of trials in which S-ACO converged to the long path

m	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512
without path length	50	42	26	29	24	18	3	2	1	0
with path length	18	14	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

• The results obtained in experiment 2 with pheromone updates based on solution quality are much better.

# Influence of the parameter $\alpha$

- In additional experiments, we examined the influence of the parameter *α* on the convergence behavior of S-ACO:
- Investigating the cases where a was changed in step sizes of **0.25 from 1 to 2**.
  - In the first case we found that increasing *a* had a negative effect on the convergence behavior
  - In the second case the results were rather independent of the particular value of *a*.

# **First Experiments**

- The results with S-ACO indicate that differential path length alone can be enough to let S-ACO converge to the optimal solution on small graphs
  - at the price of having to use large colony sizes, which results in long simulation times.

# **Second Experiments with S-ACO**

- In a second set of experiments, we studied the influence that pheromone trail evaporation.
- Experiments were run using the extended double bridge graph



# **Second Experiments**

- The ants deposit an amount of pheromone that is the inverse of their path length (i.e.,  $\Delta \tau^k$ =1/ $L^k$ )
- Before depositing pheromone, ants eliminate loops

## **Second Experiments**

• We ran experiments with S-ACO and different settings for the evaporation rate:

$$\rho \in \{0, 0.01, 0.1\}$$

•  $\alpha = 1$  and m = 128 in all experiments.

# **Plot of Second Experiments**

- To evaluate the behavior of the algorithm we observe the development of the path lengths found by the ants.
- We plot the moving averages of the path lengths after loop elimination (moving averages are calculated using the 4 most recent paths found by the ants).
- In the graph of figure a point is plotted each time an ant has completed a journey from the source to the destination and back

## Number of shortest paths found



# **Pheromone Evaporation**

- If p = 0, no pheromone evaporation takes place.
- An evaporation rate of p = 0.1 is rather large,
  - Because evaporation takes place at each iteration of the S-ACO algorithm
  - After ten iterations, which corresponds to the smallest number of steps that an ant needs to build the shortest path and to come back to the source, roughly 65% of the pheromone on each arc evaporates,
  - While with p = 0.01 this evaporation is reduced to around 10%.
### **Results: No evaporation**

- If no evaporation is used, the algorithm does not converge
- It can be seen by the fact that the moving average has approximately the value 7.5, which does not correspond to the length of any path
- With these parameter settings, this result typically **does not change** if the run lasts a much higher number of iterations.

# **Results: With Evaporation**

- With pheromone evaporation, the behavior of S-ACO is significantly different.
- After a short transitory phase, S-ACO converges to a single path
- For p = 0.01 the value of shortest path is 5
- For p = 0.1 the path of length is 6

# **Results: Pheromone Updates**

- Without pheromone updates based on solution quality, S-ACO performance is much worse.
- The algorithm converges very often to the suboptimal solution of length 8
- The larger the parameters *α* or *p*, the faster S-ACO converges to this suboptimal solution.

# **Results: Pheromone Evaporation Rate**

- The pheromone evaporation rate p can be critical.
- when evaporation was set to a value that was too high, S-ACO often converged to suboptimal paths.
- For example, in fifteen trials with p set to 0.2, S-ACO converged:
  - once to a path of length 8,
  - once to a path of length 7, and
  - twice to a path of length 6.
- Setting p to 0.01 S-ACO converged to the shortest path in all trials.

### **Results:** Values of *α*

- Large values of *a* generally result in a worse behavior of S-ACO
- Because they excessively emphasize the initial random fluctuations.





