In the name of God

Part 1. The Review of Linear Programming

1.2. Simplex Method

Spring 2010

Instructor: Dr. Masoud Yaghini

Outline

Introduction

- Basic Feasible Solutions
- Key to the Simplex Method
- Algebra of the Simplex Method
- The Simplex Algorithm
- The Simplex Method In Tableau Format
- References

Introduction

The Simplex Method

- The **simplex method** is an **algebraic** procedure for solving **linear programming problems**.
- Its underlying concepts are **geometric**.
- Developed by George Dantzig in 1947.
- It has proved to be a remarkably **efficient method** that is used routinely to solve huge problems on today's computers.

- Consider the system Ax = b and x ≥ 0, where A is an m x n matrix and b is an m vector.
- Suppose that rank $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}) = \operatorname{rank} (\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{m}$.
- Let $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{N}]$ where \mathbf{B} is an m x m invertible matrix and \mathbf{N} is an m x (n - m) matrix.
- The solution $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_B \\ \mathbf{x}_N \end{bmatrix}$ to the equations $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, where

$$\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_N = \mathbf{0}$$

- This solution is a basic solution
- If $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{B}} \ge 0$, then **x** is called a **basic feasible solution**
- **B** is the **basic matrix** (or simply the **basis**)
- N is the nonbasic matrix
- **x**_B are **basic variables**
- x_N are **nonbasic variables**
- If x_B > 0, then x is called a nondegenerate basic feasible solution
- If at least one component of **x**_B is zero, then **x** is called a **degenerate basic feasible solution**

• Consider the **polyhedral set** defined by the following inequalities



• By introducing the slack variables x₃ and x₄, the problem is put in the following standard format:

$$x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 6$$

$$x_{2} + x_{4} = 3$$

$$x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \ge 0$$

• Note that the constraint matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1, \, \mathbf{a}_2, \, \mathbf{a}_3, \, \mathbf{a}_4] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Possible **Basic solutions** are:

1.
$$\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{x}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{N} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{3} \\ x_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
2. $\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{4}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\mathbf{x}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{N} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{2} \\ x_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
3. $\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{a}_{3}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\mathbf{x}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{2} \\ x_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{N} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
4. $\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{a}_{4}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\mathbf{x}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{2} \\ x_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{N} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
5. $\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{a}_{3}, \mathbf{a}_{4}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\mathbf{x}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{3} \\ x_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

• We have **four basic feasible solutions:**

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\3\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 6\\0\\0\\3 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\3\\3\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{x}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\6\\3 \end{bmatrix}$$

• These **basic feasible solutions**, in the (x₁, x₂) space—give rise to the following four points:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3\\3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 6\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• These points are precisely the **extreme points** of the feasible region.

- In this example, the possible number of basic feasible solutions is bounded by the number of ways of extracting **two columns out of four columns** to form the basis.
- Therefore the number of basic feasible solutions is less or equal to:
 (4) 4!

$$\binom{4}{2} = \frac{4!}{2!2!} = 6.$$

• Out of these six possibilities, one point violates the nonnegativity of **B**⁻¹**b**. Furthermore, a_1 and a_3 , could not have been used to form a basis since $a_1 = a_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ are **linearly dependent**, and hence the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ qualify as a basis.

• In general, the number of basic feasible solutions is less than or equal to:

$$\binom{n}{m} = \frac{n!}{m! (n-m)!}$$

• Consider the following system of inequalities:



• The third restriction is **redundant**.

• After adding the slack variables, we get

• Note that

$$x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 6$$

$$x_{2} + x_{4} = 3$$

$$x_{1} + 2x_{2} + x_{5} = 9$$

$$x_{1}, \quad x_{2}, \quad x_{3}, \quad x_{4}, \quad x_{5} \ge 0$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1, \, \mathbf{a}_2, \, \mathbf{a}_3, \, \mathbf{a}_4, \, \mathbf{a}_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us consider the basic feasible solution with B =
 [a₁, a₂, a₃]

$$\mathbf{x}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{N} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{4} \\ x_{5} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Note that this basic feasible solution is degenerate since the basic variable $x_3 = 0$.

Now consider the basic feasible solution with B = [a₁, a₂, a₄]

$$\mathbf{x}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{N} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{3} \\ x_{5} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• This basic feasible solution gives rise to the same point

• It can be also checked that the basic feasible solution with basis $\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_5]$ is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{5} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{x}_{N} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{3} \\ x_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- All three of the foregoing basic feasible solutions with different bases are represented by the single extreme point (x1, x2, x3, x4, x5) = (3, 3, 0, 0, 0).
- Each of the three basic feasible solutions is degenerate since each contains a basic variable at level zero.

- Since the number of basic feasible solutions is bounded by $\binom{n}{m}$,
- One may think of simply listing all basic feasible solutions, and picking the one with the minimal objective value.
- This is not satisfactory, for a number of reasons

• The reasons:

- Firstly, the number of basic feasible solutions is large, even for moderate values of *m* and *n*.
- Secondly, this approach does not tell us if the problem has an unbounded solution that may occur if the feasible region is unbounded.
- Lastly, if the feasible region is empty, we shall discover that the feasible region is empty, only after all possible ways of extracting *m* columns out of *n* columns of the matrix **A** fail to produce a basic feasible solution, on the grounds that **B** does not have an inverse, or else $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \ge \mathbf{0}$

• The key to the simplex method lies in recognizing the optimality of a given basic feasible solution (extreme point solution) based on local considerations without having to (globally) enumerate all basic feasible solutions.

• Consider the following linear programming problem.

Minimize cx

Subject to Ax = b

$x \! \geqslant \! 0$

- where \mathbf{A} is an $m \ge n$ matrix.
- Suppose that we have a basic feasible solution $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$ whose objective value z_0 is given by

$$z_0 = \mathbf{c} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{c}_B, \mathbf{c}_N) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{c}_B \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

- Let $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{N}}$ denote the set of **basic** and **nonbasic** variables for the given basis.
- Then feasibility requires that $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{B}} \ge \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{N}} \ge \mathbf{0}$, and that

 $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{B}} + \mathbf{N}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{N}}$

• Multiplying the last equation by B^{-1} and rearranging the terms:

$$\mathbf{x}_{B} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{x}_{N}$$
$$= \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \sum_{j \in R} \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{j}x_{j}$$
$$= \overline{\mathbf{b}} - \sum_{j \in R} (\mathbf{y}_{j})\mathbf{x}_{j}$$

- where **R** is the current set of the indices of the **nonbasic variables**.

letting z denote the objective function at x, we get z = cx = c_Bx_B + c_Nx_N = c_B(B⁻¹b - ∑_{j∈R}B⁻¹a_jx_j) + ∑_{j∈R}c_jx_j = z₀ - ∑_{j∈R}(z_j - c_j)x_j
where z_j = c_BB⁻¹ a_j for each nonbasic variable. • The LP problem may be rewritten as:

Minimize
$$z = z_0 - \sum_{j \in R} (z_j - c_j) x_j$$

Subject to $\sum_{j \in R} (y_j) x_j + x_B = \overline{\mathbf{b}}$
 $x_j \ge 0, j \in R$, and $\mathbf{x}_B \ge 0$

- Since we are to minimize z, whenever $z_j c_j > 0$, it would be to our advantage to increase x_i (from its current level of zero).
- If $(z_j c_j) \le 0$ for all $j \in R$, then
 - the current feasible solution is optimal.
 - $z \ge z_0$ for any feasible solution, and for the current (basic) feasible solution
 - $-z = z_0$ since $x_j = 0$ for all $j \in R$

- If $(z_j c_j) \le 0$ for all $j \in R$, then $x_j = 0, j \in R$ and $x_B = \overline{\mathbf{b}}$ is optimal for LP.
- If $z_k c_k > 0$, and it is the most positive of all $z_j c_j$, it would be to our benefit to increase x_k as much as possible.
- As x_k is increased, the current basic variables must be modified according to: $\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_k x_k = \mathbf{\bar{b}} - \mathbf{y}_k x_k$

$$\begin{array}{c} x_{B_{1}} \\ x_{B_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ x_{B_{r}} \\ \vdots \\ x_{B_{m}} \end{array} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{b_{1}} \\ \overline{b_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{b_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{b_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{b_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{b_{2}} \\ - \begin{bmatrix} y_{1k} \\ y_{2k} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2k} \\ \vdots \\ y_{rk} \\ \vdots \\ y_{rk} \\ \vdots \\ y_{mk} \end{bmatrix} x_{k}$$

- If $y_{ik} < 0$, then x_{Bi} increases as x_k increases and so x_{Bi} continues to be nonnegative.
- If $y_{ik} = 0$, then x_{Bi} is not changed as x_k increases and so x_{Bi} continues to be nonnegative.
- If $y_{ik} > 0$, then x_{Bi} will decrease as x_k increases.
 - In order to satisfy nonnegativity, x_k is increased until the first point at which a basic variable x_{Br} drops to zero.
- It is then clear that the first basic variable dropping to zero corresponds to the minimum of \overline{b}_i / y_{ik} for $y_{ik} > 0$.

• More precisely:

$$x_{k} = \frac{\overline{b_{r}}}{y_{rk}} = \operatorname{Minimum}_{1 \le i \le m} \left\{ \frac{\overline{b_{i}}}{y_{ik}} : y_{ik} > 0 \right\}$$

- In the absence of degeneracy $\overline{b}_r > 0$, and $x_k = \overline{b}_r / y_{rk} > 0$.
- As x_k increases from level 0 to $\overline{b_r}/y_{rk}$, a new feasible solution is obtained.

• Substituting $x_k = b_r / y_{rk}$ in this Equation $\mathbf{x}_{B} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{k}x_{k} = \bar{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{y}_{k}x_{k}$ • gives: $x_{B_i} = \bar{b_i} - \frac{y_{ik}}{y_{rk}} \bar{b_r}$ i = 1, 2, ..., m $x_k = \frac{b_r}{y_{rk}}$ all other x_i 's are zero

• The corresponding columns are

 $a_{B1},\,a_{B2},\,...,\,a_{r\text{-}1},\,a_k,\,a_{r\text{+}1},\,...,\,a_m.$

• If \mathbf{a}_{B1} , \mathbf{a}_{B2} , ..., \mathbf{a}_{m} are linearly independent, and if \mathbf{a}_{k} replaces \mathbf{a}_{Br} , then the new columns are linearly independent if and only if $y_{rk} \neq 0$.

Minimize
$$x_1 + x_2$$

Subject to $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$
 $x_2 \le 1$
 $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$

• Introduce the slack variables x_3 and x_4 to put the problem in a standard form. This leads to the following constraint matrix **A**:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1, \, \mathbf{a}_2, \, \mathbf{a}_3, \, \mathbf{a}_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Consider the basic feasible solution corresponding to $\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2]$. In other words, x_1 and x_2 are the basic variables while x_3 and x_4 are the nonbasic variables.
- First compute

$$\mathbf{B}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{c}_B \mathbf{B}^{-1} = (1, 1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = (1, -1).$$

• Hence

$$\mathbf{y}_3 = \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{y}_4 = \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\overline{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

• Also
$$z_0 = \mathbf{c}_B \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} = (1, -1) \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 3,$$

$$z_3 - c_3 = \mathbf{c}_B \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_3 - c_3 = (1, -1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 0 = 1,$$

$$z_4 - c_4 = \mathbf{c}_B \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_4 - c_4 = (1, -1) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 0 = -1.$$

• The required representation of the problem is

Minimize
$$3 - x_3 + x_4$$

subject to $x_3 - 2x_4 + x_1 = 2$
 $x_4 + x_2 = 1$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0.$

- Since $z_3 c_3 > 0$, then the objective improves by increasing x_3
- The modified solution is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_3 x_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} x_3$$

• The maximum value of x_3 is 2 (any larger value of x_3 will force x_1 to be negative). Therefore the new basic feasible solution is

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (0, 1, 2, 0)$$

• Here x_3 enters the basis and x_1 leaves the basis. Note that the new point has an objective value equal to 1, which is an improvement over the previous objective value of 3. The improvement is precisely $(z_3 - c_3) x_3 = 2$.

• The feasible region of the problem in both the original (x_1, x_2) space as well as in the current (x_3, x_4) space.



Interpretation of Entering the Basis

• Recall that $z = \mathbf{c}_B \mathbf{b} - (z_k - c_k) x_k$ - where $z_k = \mathbf{c}_B \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_k = \mathbf{c}_B \mathbf{y}_k = \sum_{i=1}^m c_{B_i} y_{ik}$

- where c_{Bi} is the cost of the *i* th basic variable.

- If *x_k* is raised from zero level, while the other nonbasic variables **are kept at zero** level,
 - then the basic variables $x_{B1}, x_{B2}, \ldots, x_{Bm}$ must be modified according to

$$\mathbf{x}_{B} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{k}x_{k} = \bar{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{y}_{k}x_{k}$$

Interpretation of Entering the Basis

- if x_k is increased by **1 unit**:
 - if $y_{ik} > 0$, the *i* th basic variable will be decreased by y_{ik}
 - if $y_{ik} < 0$, the *i* th basic variable will be increased by y_{ik}
 - if $y_{ik} = 0$, the *i* th basic variable will not be changed
- z_k which is $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{B_i} y_{ik}$, is the cost saving that results from the modification of the basic variables, as a result of increasing x_k by 1 unit
- c_k is the cost of increasing x_k itself by 1 unit
- $z_k c_k$ is the saving minus the cost of increasing x_k by 1 unit

Interpretation of Entering the Basis

- if $z_k c_k > 0$,
 - it will be to our advantage to increase x_k
 - For each unit of x_k, the cost will be reduced by an amount z_k
 c_k and hence it will be to our advantage to increase x_k as much as possible.
- if $z_k c_k < 0$,
 - then by increasing x_k , the net saving is negative, and this action will result in a larger cost.
 - So this action is prohibited.
- If $z_k c_k = 0$,
 - then increasing x_k will lead to a different solution, with the same cost.

Interpretation of Leaving the Basis

- Suppose that we decided to increase a nonbasic variable x_k with a positive $z_k c_k$
- the larger the value of x_k , the smaller is the objective z.
- As x_k is increased, the basic variables are modified according to

$$\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_k x_k = \bar{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{y}_k x_k$$

• If the vector y_k has any positive component(s), then the corresponding basic variable(s) is decreased as x_k is increased.

Interpretation of Leaving the Basis

- Therefore the nonbasic variable x_k cannot be indefinitely increased, because otherwise the nonnegativity of the basic variables will be violated.
- The first basic variable x_{Br} that drops to zero is called the **blocking variable** because it blocked further increase of x_k .
- Thus x_k enters the basis and x_{Br} leaves the basis.

Termination: Optimality and Unboundedness

Termination Optimality and Unboundedness

- Different cases for terminations of simplex methods:
 - Termination with an Optimal Solution
 - Unique and Alternative Optimal Solutions
 - Unboundedness

Termination with an Optimal Solution

- Suppose that x* is a basic feasible solution with basis
 B
- Let z* denote the objective of x*
- Suppose $z_j c_j \le 0$, for all nonbasic variables
 - In this case no nonbasic variable is eligible for entering the basis.
- Fro the following equation:

$$z = z_0 - \sum_{j \in R} (z_j - c_j) x_j$$

• **x*** is an unique optimal basic feasible solution.

Unique and Alternative Optimal Solutions

- Consider the case where $z_j c_j \le 0$ for all nonbasic components,
- Bu $z_k c_k = 0$ for at least one nonbasic variable x_k .
- If x_k is increased, we get (in the absence of degeneracy) points that are different from x* but have the same objective value.
- If *x_k* is increased until it is blocked by a basic variable, we get an alternative optimal basic feasible solution.
- The process of increasing *x_k* from level zero until it is blocked generates an **infinite number** of alternative optimal solutions.

Unboundedness

- Suppose that we have a basic feasible solution of the system Ax = b, x > 0, with objective value z_0 .
- Let us consider the case when we find a nonbasic variable x_k with $z_k c_k > 0$ and $\mathbf{y_k} \le 0$.
- This variable is eligible to enter the basis since increasing it will improve the objective function.
- It is to our benefit to increase x_k indefinitely, which will make z go to -∞.
- Based on the following equation:

$$\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_k x_k = \mathbf{\bar{b}} - \mathbf{y}_k x_k$$

The Simplex Algorithm

The Simplex Algorithm

- Given a basic feasible solution, we can either improve it if $z_k - c_k > 0$ for some nonbasic variable x_k , or stop with an optimal point if $z_j - c_j \le 0$ for all nonbasic variables.
- If $z_k c_k > 0$, and the vector \mathbf{y}_k contains at least one positive component, then the increase in x_k will be blocked by one of the current basic variables, which drops to zero and leaves the basis.
- On the other hand, if z_k c_k > 0 and y_k ≤ 0, then x_k can be increased indefinitely, and the optimal solution is unbounded and has value -∞.

The Simplex Algorithm

• We now give a summary of the simplex method for solving the following linear programming problem. Minimize cx

Subject to Ax = b

$x \ge 0$

- where \mathbf{A} is an $m \times n$ matrix with rank m.

Initialization Step of Simplex Algorithm

- Choose a starting basic feasible solution with basis **B**.

MAIN STEP:

Step 1:

- Solve the system $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{b}$
- Let $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbf{0}$, and $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{B}}$.

Step 2:

- Solve the system $\mathbf{wB} = \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}$, with unique solution $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{B}^{-1}$.
- The vector of w is **simplex multipliers**
- Calculate $z_j c_j = \mathbf{wa_j} c_j$ for all nonbasic variables.
- This is known as the **pricing operation**.

- Let
$$z_k - c_k = \underset{j \in R}{\operatorname{Maximum}} z_j - c_j$$

- where R is the current set of indices associated with the nonbasic variables.
- If $z_k c_k \le 0$, then stop with the current basic feasible solution as an **optimal solution**.
- Otherwise go to step 3 with x_k as the entering variable.

Step 3:

- Solve the system $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{a}_k$, with unique solution $\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_k$.
- If $y_k \leq 0$, then stop with the conclusion that the optimal solution is **unbounded**
- If NOT $y_k \le 0$, go to step 4.

Step 4:

- Let x_k enters the basis and the blocking variable x_{Br} leaves the basis,
- where the index r is determined by the following minimum ratio test: $\frac{\overline{b_r}}{y_{rk}} = \operatorname{Minimum}_{1 \le i \le m} \left\{ \frac{\overline{b_i}}{y_{ik}} : y_{ik} > 0 \right\}$
- Update the basis **B** where \mathbf{a}_k replaces \mathbf{a}_{Br} , the index set **R** and go to step 1.

Modification for a Maximization Problem

- A maximization problem can be transformed into a minimization problem by multiplying the objective coefficients by 1.
- A maximization problem can also be handled directly as follows.
- Let $z_k c_k$ instead be the **minimum** $z_j c_j$ for j nonbasic; the stopping criterion is that $z_k c_k \ge 0$. Otherwise, the steps are as above.

- Suppose that we have a starting basic feasible solution **x** with basis **B**.
- The linear programming problem can be represented as follows:

Minimize z Subject to $z - \mathbf{c}_B \mathbf{x}_B - \mathbf{c}_N \mathbf{x}_N = 0$ (1) $\mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}_B + \mathbf{N} \mathbf{x}_N = \mathbf{b}$ (2) $\mathbf{x}_B, \quad \mathbf{x}_N \ge \mathbf{0}$ • From Equation (2) we have:

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{B}} + \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{x}_{N} = \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$
 (3)

• Multiplying (3) by $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{B}}$ and adding to Equation (1), we get

$$z + \mathbf{0}\mathbf{x}_B + (\mathbf{c}_B \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{N} - \mathbf{c}_N)\mathbf{x}_N = \mathbf{c}_B \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \quad (4)$$

- Currently $\mathbf{x}_{N} = \mathbf{0}$, and from Equations (3) and (4) we get $\mathbf{x}_{B} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{c}_{B}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$.
- Also, from (3) and (4) we can conveniently represent the current basic feasible solution in the following tableau.

$$z \quad \mathbf{X}_{B} \quad \mathbf{X}_{N} \quad \text{RHS}$$

$$z \quad \boxed{1 \quad 0} \quad \mathbf{c}_{B}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{c}_{N} \quad \mathbf{c}_{B}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \quad \text{Row 0}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{B} \quad \boxed{0 \quad \mathbf{I}} \quad \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{N} \quad \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \quad \text{Rows 1 through } m$$

- Here we think of z as a (basic) variable to be minimized.
- The objective row will be referred to as row 0 and the remaining rows are rows 1 through *m*.
- The **right-hand-side column (RHS)** will denote the values of the basic variables (including the objective function).
- The basic variables are identified on the far left column.
- Actually the cost row gives us c_BB⁻¹N c_N, which consists of the z_j - c_j for the nonbasic variables.
- So row zero will tell us if we are at the optimal solution (if each $z_j c_j \le 0$), and which nonbasic variable to increase otherwise.

Pivoting

- If x_k enters the basis and x_{Br} leaves the basis, then pivoting on y_{rk} can be stated as follows.
- 1. Divide row r by y_{rk} .
- 2. For i = 1, 2, ..., m and i # r, update the *i* th row by adding to it $-y_{ik}$ times the new *r* th row.
- 3. Update row zero by adding to it $c_k z_k$ times the new *r* th row. The two tableaux represent the situation immediately before and after pivoting.

Before and after pivoting



- Let us examine the implications of the pivoting operation.
- 1. The variable x_k entered the basis and x_{Br} left the basis. This is illustrated on the left-hand side of the tableau by replacing x_{Br} with x_k . For the purpose of the following iteration, the new x_{Br} is now x_k .
- 2. The right-hand side of the tableau gives the current values of the basic variables. The nonbasic variables are kept zero.
- 3. Pivoting results in a new tableau that gives the new $B^{-1}N$ under the nonbasic variables, an updated set of $z_j c_j$ for the new nonbasic variables, and the values of the new basic variables and objective function.

• Example Minimize $x_1 + x_2 - 4x_3$ Subject to $x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 9$ $x_1 + x_2 - x_3 \le 2$ $-x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \le 4$ $x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$

• Introduce the nonnegative slack variables x_4 , x_5 , and x_6 .

Minimize
$$x_1 + x_2 - 4x_3 + 0x_4 + 0x_5 + 0x_6$$

Subject to $x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 = 9$
 $x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_5 = 2$
 $-x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_6 = 4$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 \ge 0$

• Since $\mathbf{b} \ge \mathbf{0}$, then we can choose our initial basis as $\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{a}_4, \mathbf{a}_5, \mathbf{a}_6] = \mathbf{I}_3$, and we indeed have $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} \ge 0$. This gives the following initial tableau.

Iteration 1



Iteration 2

	Z	x_1	x_2	<i>x</i> ₃	x_4	x_5	x_6	RHS
Ζ	1	3	- 5	0	0	0	- 4	- 16
x ₄	0	3	- 1	0	1	0	- 2	1
x_5	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	6
x_3	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	4

Iteration 3

	Z	<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	<i>x</i> ₄	x_5	x_6	RHS
Z	1	0	- 4	0	- 1	0	- 2	- 17
x_1	0	1	$-\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$-\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$
x_5	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	6
<i>x</i> ₃	0	0	<u>2</u> <u>3</u>	1	<u>1</u> <u>3</u>	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>13</u> 3

• This is the optimal tableau since $z_j - c_j \le 0$ for all nonbasic variables. The optimal solution is given by

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{3}, x_2 = 0, x_3 = \frac{13}{3}$$

 $z = -17$

• Note that the current optimal basis consists of the columns **a1**, **a5**, and **a3** namely

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1, \, \mathbf{a}_5, \, \mathbf{a}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{B}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{-2}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

References

References

 M.S. Bazaraa, J.J. Jarvis, H.D. Sherali, Linear Programming and Network Flows, Wiley, 1990. (Chapter 3)

The End