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## (Caprara Model)



## Definitions

## Definitions

## - Crew management

- is concerned with building the work schedules of crews needed to cover a planned timetable.
- This is part of tactical planning which concern the mediumterm use of the available resources.


## Definitions

## - Crews

- Personnel grouped together as crews
- Train services
- We are given a planned timetable for the train services to be performed every day of a certain time period.
- Train services include both the actual journeys with passengers or freight, and the transfers of empty trains or equipment between different stations.


## Definitions

## - Trips

- Each train service has first been split into a sequence of trips
- Trips include segments of train journeys which must be serviced by the same crew without rest.
- Each trip is characterized by:
- a departure time,
- a departure station,
- an arrival time,
- an arrival station,
- and possibly by additional attributes.
- Each daily occurrence of a trip has to be performed by one crew.


## Fig. 1. The trips to be covered everyday



## Definitions: Duty \& Depot

## - Duty

- A sequence of trips to be covered by a single crew within a given time period that covers at most L consecutive days is called duty or pairing.
- In railroad application, L is typically at most 2.
- Depot
- A depot represents the starting and ending point of crew's work segments


## Fig. 2. Duties covering all the trips



Each duty overlaps at most $L=2$ consecutive days

## Definitions: Roster

## - Roster

- A roster is a sequence of trips whose operational cost and feasibility depend on several rules laid down by union contracts and company regulations.
- The roster consists of the cyclic trip sequence
- Each crew performs a roster


## Fig. 3. A Roster Covering all Trips
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- The roster spans 12 days
- Each 6th day is left idle for crew rest
- According to the roster, 12 crews are needed to perform each daily occurrence of the given trips
$\qquad$


## Definitions: The Roster

## - The first crew covers:

- on calendar day d, say, trips T3 and T9,
- on calendar day d + 1 no trip,
- on calendar day $\mathrm{d}+2$ trips T2 and T5
- on calendar day $d+11$ no trip,
- on calendar day d + 12 again trips T3 and T9, and so on.


## Definitions: The Roster

- On calendar day $d+1$,
- trips T3 and T9 are instead covered by the second crew,
- which performs no trips on day $d+2$,
- trips T2 and T5 on day $d+3$, and so on.
- Trips T3 and T9
- on calendar day $d+2$ are covered by crew number 3 ,
- on calendar clay $\mathrm{d}+3$ by crew number $4 \ldots$.
- on calendar day $\mathrm{d}+11$ by crew number 12 , and
- on calendar day $\mathrm{d}+12$ by crew number 1 again.


## Definitions: Pairing Generation

## - Pairing Generation

- is a preprocessing phase of crew scheduling which all feasible duties are computed and stored.


## Railway Crew Management

## Railway Crew Management

- Railway crew management represents a very complex and challenging problem due to both the size of the instances to be solved and the type and number of operational constraints.
- Typical figures at the Italian railway company, are about 8,000 trains per day and a workforce of 25,000 drivers spread among several depots.
- The largest planning problems concern the inter-city and long-range passenger trains, and involve about 2,000 trains split into 5,000 trips per day.


## Railway Crew Management

- The crew management problem consists of:
- Finding a set of rosters covering every trip of the given time period, so as to satisfy all the operational constraints, with minimum cost.
- A main objective of crew management is the minimization of the global number of crews needed to perform all the daily occurrences of the trips in the given period
- In practice, the overall crew management problem is approached in two phases:
- Crew scheduling
- Crew rostering


## Crew scheduling

- The short-term schedule of the crews is considered, and a set of duties covering all the trips is constructed.
- In the example, the trips are covered by means of the 5 duties reported in Fig. 2.
- The objective used in the crew scheduling phase mainly calls for the minimization of the number of working days corresponding to the duties.


## Crew rostering

- The duties selected in phase 1 are sequenced to obtain the final rosters.
- In this step, trips are no longer taken into account explicitly, but determine the attributes of the duties which are relevant for the roster feasibility and cost.
- In Fig. 2. the 5 duties are sequenced to obtain the 12day roster in Fig. 3.


## Reasons of Decomposition

- Constraints for short term work segments are different from constraints for longer periods
- For example, in the Italian railway company the minimum time interval between two consecutive trips in a duty is a few minutes for changing trains, whereas the time interval between two consecutive duties is 18-22 hours for home rest.
- Each crew must return within a given time to a home depot, resulting in a natural constraint for the crew scheduling phase.


## Reasons of Decomposition

- The problem is much easier to solve, since typically both parts can be modeled independently, resulting in smaller problem descriptions for both phases.
- The decomposition approach fits nicely into current planners methods, especially the duty optimization can be done centrally, whereas each depot can do the rostering phase separately for its associated duties.


## Crew Scheduling Model

## Crew Scheduling Problem

- Crew scheduling problem requires finding min-cost sequences through a given set of items.
- Items correspond to trips and sequences correspond to duties.
- A formulation of the problem in term of graphs associates a node with each item, and a directed arc with each item transition.
- A directed graph, $G=(V, A)$ having one node $j \in V$ for each trip, and an arc $(i, j) \in A$ if trip $j$ can appear right after item $i$ in a feasible sequence
- With this representation, the problems can be formulated as finding a min-cost collection of circuits (or paths) of $G$ covering each node once


## Crew Scheduling in Urban Transit

- Consider crew scheduling in the context of urban mass transit companies
- Where duty duration (spread time) is less than 24 hours.
- Here, a minimum duty start time $b$ (e.g., 2 a.m.) is given.
- Accordingly, all departure/arrival times between 0 (midnight) and b are increased by 24 hours, and an arc $(i, j) \in A$ exists only if the arrival time of trip $i$ is not greater than the departure time of trip $j$.


## Crew Scheduling in Railway Application

- Where duty duration (spread time) in railway applications is greater than 24 hours
- This allows an arc to connect a trip $i$ to a trip $j$ even if the arrival time of $i$ is greater than the departure time of $j$.
- Meaning that a crew performs trips $i$ and $j$ on different days.
- In this case, crew scheduling calls for a min-cost collection of paths covering all the nodes once, each path satisfying a set of constraints related to the feasibility of the corresponding duty (maximum driving time, meal breaks, etc.).


## Crew Scheduling in Railway Application

- As already mentioned, a basic constraint for crew scheduling is that every duty must start and end at the crew home location (depot).
- It is then natural to introduce in $G$ a dummy node $d$ for each depot, along with the associated $\operatorname{arcs}(d, j)$ (respectively, $(j, d)$ ) for each node $j$ associated with a trip which can be the first (respectively, the last) trip in a duty assigned to depot $d$.


## Crew Scheduling in Railway Application

- This allows one to convert each path representing a duty into a circuit by connecting the terminal nodes of the path to the depot node representing the home location of the crew.
- There are two basic ways of modeling as an integer linear program the problem of covering the nodes of a directed graph through a suitable set of circuits.


## First Crew Scheduling Model



## First Crew Scheduling Model

$G=(V, A):$ A directed graph, having one node $j \in V$ for each trip, and an $\operatorname{arc}(i, j) \in A$ if trip $j$ can appear right after item $i$ in a feasible sequence
$d$ :
a dummy node for each depot, along with the associated ares $(d, j)$ (respectively, $(j, d))$ for each node $j$ associated with a trip which can be the first (respectively, the last) trip in a duty assigned to depot $d \in D$.
$\delta^{+}(v)$ : represent the set of the arcs of $G$ leaving node $v \in V$.
$\delta^{-}(v): \quad$ represent the set of the arcs of $G$ entering node $v \in V$.

## First Crew Scheduling Model

D:
$P:$
$P$ :
$c_{i j}:$
$x_{i j}$
denote the set of depot nodes $D \subset V$. is the family of all arc subsets $P$.
arc subset which can not be part of any feasible solution $P \in \mathcal{P}$.
the cost of each are $(i, j) \in A$.
a binary variable with each arc $(i, j) \in A$, where $x_{i j}=1$ if $\operatorname{arc}(i, j)$ is used in the optimal solution and $x_{i j}=0$ otherwise.

## First Crew Scheduling Model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sum_{(i, j) \in A} c_{i j} x_{i j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subject to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{(i, j) \in \delta^{+}(v)} x_{i j}=\sum_{(i, j) \in \delta^{-}(v)} x_{i j}=1, v \in V \backslash D  \tag{2}\\
& \sum_{(i, j) \in \delta^{+}(v)} x_{i j}=\sum_{(i, j) \in \delta^{-}(v)} x_{i j}, v \in D  \tag{3}\\
& \sum_{(i, j) \in P^{\prime}} x_{i j} \leq|P|-1, P \in P  \tag{4}\\
& x_{i j} \in\{0,1\}, \quad(i, j) \in A \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

## First Crew Scheduling Model

- Constraints (2) impose that the same number of arcs enter and leave each node, and that each node not associated with a depot is covered exactly once.
- Constraints (3) impose that the same number of arcs enter and leave each depot.
- Constraints (4) forbid the choice of all the arcs in any infeasible arc subset $P$.


## First Crew Scheduling Model

- Notice that $\mathcal{P}$ contains all the arc sequences which cannot be covered by a single crew because of operational constraints.
- $|\mathcal{P}|$ may grow exponentially with $|V|$
- In addition, $\mathcal{P}$ may contain subsets of arcs which cannot all be selected because of constraints related to the infeasibility of a group of circuits; these are typically called crew base constraints.


## Crew Base Constraints

- Crew base constraints have to be fulfilled:
- lower and upper bounds on the number of selected duties associated with each depot
- maximum percentage of selected overnight duties for each depot
- maximum percentage of selected duties with external rest for each depot
- similar constraints for all duties together
- These constraints can not be part of the sequencing rules or the overall duty constraints


## First Crew Scheduling Model

- This model can only be applied when the cost of the solution can be expressed as the sum of the costs associated with the ares.
- Hence it cannot be used when the cost of a circuit depends on the overall node sequence, or on the "type" of the crew, e.g., on the home location.


## A Variant of the First Model

$x_{i j}^{k}:$ a binary variable with each arc $(i, j) \in A$ when performed by a crew of type $k$, where $x_{i j}^{k}=1$ means that a crew of type $k$ covers nodes $i$ and $j$ in sequence, and $x_{i j}^{k}=0$ otherwise.
$c_{i j}^{k}$ : the cost of each arc $(i, j) \in A$, when performed by a crew of type $k$, where $c_{i j}^{k}=+\infty$ if $(i, j)$ cannot be used by a crew of type k.

K: $\quad$ the set of crew types.
$P^{k}$ : is the family of all arc subsets $P$ which cannot be part of any feasible solution for the crews of type $k$.

## A Variant of the First Model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{(i, j) \in A} c_{i j}^{k} x_{i j}^{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subject to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{(i, j) \in \delta^{+}(v)} x_{i j}^{k}=\sum_{(i, j) \in \delta^{-}(v)} x_{i j}^{k}, \quad v \in V, k \in K  \tag{7}\\
& \sum_{(i, j) \in P} x_{i j}^{k} \leq|P|-1, \quad P \in P^{k}, k \in K  \tag{8}\\
& \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{(i, j) \in \delta^{+}(v)} x_{i j}^{k}=1, v \in V \backslash D  \tag{9}\\
& x_{i j}^{k} \in\{0,1\},(i, j) \in A, \quad k \in K \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

## A Variant of the First Model

- Model (6) - (10) allows are costs depending on the crew type.
- Moreover, infeasibility constraints of type (8) can exploit the fact that the type of crew is given, which may lead to tighter linear programming relaxations.
- An obvious drawback is the increased size of the model, in terms of both the number of variables and constraints.


## Second Crew Scheduling Model

## Second Crew Scheduling Model

$C$ : denote the collection of all the simple circuits of $G$ corresponding to a feasible duty for a crew, $C=\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}\right\}$, and with $\mathrm{n}=\mid \mathrm{Cl}$.
$C_{j}: \quad$ is a circuits of $G$ corresponding to a feasible duty for a crew
S: denotes the family of all sets $S$.
S: $\quad S \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with the property that no feasible solution contains all circuits $C_{j}$ for $j \in S$.
$c_{j}$ : the cost of $C_{j}$
$I_{j}: \quad$ the node set which is covered by duty of $C_{j}$
$y_{j}$ : The binary variable, takes value 1 if $C_{j}$ is part of the optimal solution, and 0 otherwise.

## Fig. 4. Graph representation of the crew



## Second Crew Scheduling Model

set partitioning problem with side constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} y_{j} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subject to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j: v \in L_{1}} y_{j}=1, \quad v \in V \backslash D  \tag{12}\\
& \sum_{j \in s} y_{j} \leq|S|-1, \quad S \in S  \tag{13}\\
& y_{j} \in\{0,1\}, \quad j=1, \ldots \ldots ., n \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

## Second Crew Scheduling Model

- Constraints (12) impose that each node not associated with a depot is covered by exactly one circuit,
- Constraints (13) model the crew base constraints.


## Second Crew Scheduling Model

- A main advantage of the set partitioning model is that it allows for circuit costs depending on the whole sequence of arcs, and possibly on the crew type.
- Moreover, the feasibility constraints (13) need not take into account restrictions concerning the feasibility of a single circuit.
- The second model has a possibly exponential number of binary variables, each associated with a feasible circuit of $G$.


# Crew Scheduling Model at the Italian Railways 

## Crew Scheduling at the Italian Railways

- In Italian railway applications a typical crew duty lasts no more than 24 hours and covers only a few trips.
- Heavy operational constraints affect duty feasibility.
- This makes it practical to effect the explicit generation of all feasible duties, which are computed and stored in pairing generation.


## Crew Scheduling at the Italian Railways

- In addition, operational rules allow a crew to be transported with no extra cost as a passenger on a trip, hence the overall solution can cover a trip more than once.
- In this situation, the set partitioning formulation (11)-(14) can be replaced by its set covering problem relaxation obtained by replacing $=$ with $\geq$ in (12).


## Crew Scheduling at the Italian Railways

- Even without side constraints (13), set covering problems arising in railway applications appear rather difficult mainly because of their size.
- Indeed, the largest instances at the Italian railways involve up to 5,000 trips and 1,000,000 duties, i.e., they are 1-2 orders of size larger than those arising in typical airline applications.


## Pure Set Covering Problem (SCP)

$I_{j}: \quad$ the node set which is covered by duty of $j$ th
$N: \quad$ set of duties, $N=\{1, \ldots, n\}$
$c_{j}$ : the cost of duty of $j$ th
$M$ trip set, $M=\{1, \ldots, m\}$
$i: \quad$ index for trips, $i \in M$
$j: \quad$ index for duties, $j \in N$
$J_{i}$ the collection of duties which, trip of $i$ th is included in them, $J_{i} \in\left\{j \in N \mid i \in I_{j}\right\}$
$y_{j} \quad y_{j}=1$ if duty $j$ is selected in the optimal solution, $y_{j}=0$ otherwise.

## Pure Set Covering Problem (SCP)

## $\min \sum_{j \in N} c_{j} y_{j}$

Subject to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j \in J_{i}} y_{j} \geq 1, \quad i \in M  \tag{16}\\
& y_{j} \in\{0,1\}, \quad j \in N \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

## Crew Rostering Problem

## Crew Rostering Problem

- A roster contains a subset of duties and spans a cyclic sequence of groups of 6 consecutive days, conventionally called weeks.
- The number of days in a roster is an integer multiple of 6 .
- The length of a roster is typically 30 days ( 5 weeks) and does not exceed 60 days ( 10 weeks), although these requirements are not explicitly imposed as constraints.


## Crew Rostering Problem

- The crew rostering problem consists of finding a feasible set of rosters covering all the duties and spanning a minimum number of weeks.
- The global number of crews required every day to cover all the duties is equal to 6 times the total number of weeks in the solution.
- Thus, the minimization of the number of weeks implies the minimization of the global number of crews required.


## Crew Rostering Problem

- Each duty can have additional characteristics:
- duty with external rest, if it includes a long rest out of the depot for the crew;
- long duty, if it does not include an external rest and its working time is longer than 8 hours and 5 minutes;
- overnight duty, if it requires some working between midnight and 5 am;
- heavy overnight duty, if it is an overnight duty without external rest, and requires more than 1 hour and 30 minutes'
work between midnight and 5 am .


## Crew Rostering Model

- There are two types of rests, conventionally called simple and double rests.
- Simple rests must be at least 48 hours long,
- Double rests must span at least two complete days, i.e., either the fifth and sixth day of a week or the sixth day of a week and the first day of the following one.



## Crew Rostering Model

$G=(V, A)$ : A directed graph, where each node in $V=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is associated with a duty and the arcs represent the consecutive sequencing of duty pairs within a roster, $\mathrm{A}=\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right\}$
$A_{1}: \quad$ For each pair of nodes $i, j \in V$, we have an arc $(i, j) \in A_{1}$, when the nodes are sequenced directly in the same week. These arcs are called directed arcs.
$A_{2}: \quad$ For each pair of nodes $i, j \in V$, we have an $\operatorname{arc}(i, j) \in A_{2}$, when a simple rest is imposed between them. These arcs are called simple-rest arcs.

For each pair of nodes $i, j \in V$, we have an arc $(i, j) \in A_{3}$, when a double rest is imposed between them. These arcs are called double-rest arcs.

## Graph Representation



## Crew Rostering Model

Is the minimum time (in minutes) between the start of duty $i$ and the start of duty $j$ when they are sequenced directly in the same week, $(i, j) \in A_{1}$.
$c_{i j}^{2}$ : Is the minimum time (in minutes) between the start of duty $i$ and the start of duty $j$ when a simple rest is imposed between them, $(i, j) \in A_{2}$
$c_{i j}^{3}$ : Is the minimum time (in minutes) between the start of duty $i$ and the start of duty $j$ when a double rest is imposed between them, $(i, j) \in A_{3}$

## Crew Rostering Model

$x_{i j}^{1}$ : A binary variable equal to 1 if the directed arc $(i, j) \in A_{1}$ is in the optimal solution, and 0 otherwise.
$x_{i j}^{2}$ :
A binary variable equal to 1 if the simple-rest arc $(i, j) \in A_{2}$ is in the optimal solution, and 0 otherwise.
$x_{i j}^{3}$ : A binary variable equal to 1 if the double-rest arc $(i, j) \in A_{3}$ is in the optimal solution, and 0 otherwise.
$r$ An integer variable represents the minimum number of simple- or double-rest arcs in the solution,
$z \quad$ An integer variable represents the minimum number of double-rest arcs in the solution.
$\alpha$
The number of minutes in a week, $6 * 1440$.

## Crew Rostering Model

- Objective Function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(c_{i j}^{1} x_{i j}^{1}+c_{i j}^{2} x_{i j}^{2}+c_{i j}^{3} x_{i j}^{3}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Crew Rostering Model

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i j}^{1}+x_{i j}^{2}+x_{i j}^{3}\right)=1, \quad j=1, \ldots, n  \tag{20}\\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{i j}^{1}+x_{i j}^{2}+x_{i j}^{3}\right)=1, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

- Constraints (20) \& (21): impose that each node has exactly one entering and one leaving arc


## Crew Rostering Model

$$
\begin{align*}
& r \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(c_{i j}^{1} x_{i j}^{1}+c_{i j}^{2} x_{i j}^{2}+c_{i j}^{3} x_{i j}^{3}\right)  \tag{22}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{i j}^{2}+x_{i j}^{3}\right) \geq r \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

- Constraints (22) \& (23): ensure that the total number of simple- or double-rest arcs is at least the total cost of the solution, expressed in weeks.


## Crew Rostering Model

$$
\begin{align*}
& z \geq 0.4 r  \tag{24}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{x_{i}^{\prime} \geq 2} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

- Constraints (24) and (25) ensure that the total number of double-rest ares is at least 0.4 times the total number of simple- and double-rest arcs.
$\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(c_{i j}^{1} x_{i j}^{1}+c_{i j}^{2} x_{i j}^{2}+c_{i j}^{3} x_{i j}^{3}\right)$
Subject to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i j}^{1}+x_{i j}^{2}+x_{i j}^{3}\right)=1, \quad j=1, \ldots, n  \tag{20}\\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{i j}^{1}+x_{i j}^{2}+x_{i j}^{3}\right)=1, \quad i=1, \ldots, n  \tag{21}\\
& r \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(c_{i j}^{1} x_{i j}^{1}+c_{i j}^{2} x_{i j}^{2}+c_{i j}^{3} x_{i j}^{3}\right)  \tag{22}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{i j}^{2}+x_{i j}^{3}\right) \geq r  \tag{23}\\
& z \geq 0.4 r  \tag{24}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j}^{3} \geq z  \tag{25}\\
& x_{i j}^{1}, x_{i j}^{2}, x_{i j}^{3} \in\{0,1\}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, n  \tag{26}\\
& r, z \geq 0 \text { integer. } \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$
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